arXiv:0804.0728v1 [math.DG] 4 Apr 2008

Direct image for multiplicative and relative K-theories from transgression of the families index theorem, part 3

Alain Berthomieu
Université de Toulouse, C.U.F.R. J.-F. Champollion
I.M.T. (Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, UMR CNRS n° 5219)
Campus d'Albi, Place de Verdun, 81012 Albi Cedex, France.
`alain.berthomieu@univ-jfc.fr`

April 7, 2008

Abstract

This is the final part of the work started in [2] and [3], (the "longstanding forthcoming preprint" referred to in [1]).

Here the question of double fibration is adressed both for relative K-theory and "free multiplicative" K-theory.

In the case of relative and "nonfree" multiplicative K-theory, the direct image is proved to be functorial for double submersions.

Keywords. multiplicative K-theory, families index, Chern-Simons transgression, proper submersions.

AMS-classification: Primary: 14F05, 19E20, 57R20, secondary: 14F40, 19D55, 53C05, 55R50.

1 Introduction:

This is the final part of the series started in [2] and continued in [3].

Here, double fibrations are studied, and the functoriality of the direct image constructed in [2] for relative K-theory is established, while in the case of "free multiplicative" K-theory, the functoriality of the direct image constructed in [3] is proved when restricted to "nonfree" multiplicative K-theory.

Meanwhile, the work [5] was diffused. The relations between [5] and [2], [3] and the present paper will be explained elsewhere.

Consider two submersions $\pi_1 : M \longrightarrow B$ and $\pi_2 : B \longrightarrow S$ and the composed submersion $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1 : M \longrightarrow S$. The goal of this section is to compare direct image with respect to $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ (the "one step" direct image) and the composition of the two direct images relative to π_1 and π_2 (the "two step" direct image) for topological, relative and multiplicative K-theories.

The paper is organised as follows: in part 2, the construction of a canonical ("topological") link (see §2.1.2 in [2] for the definition of a "link") between representatives of one step and two step direct images in topological K-theory of some same vector bundle on M is constructed. This needs some analysis of the spectral behaviour of fibral Dirac operators in the adiabatic limit. In section 3, the Leray spectral sequence is recalled, and it is explained how a ("sheaf theoretic") link between one step and two step direct image of a flat vector bundle can be obtained from this spectral sequence. The equivalence of the topological and the sheaf theoretic links is then proved.

The functoriality of the direct image for relative K-theory is then a direct consequence of this equivalence result (see section 4).

Finally, the caracterisation of the form τ (performed in §2.7.2 of [3]) entering in the definition of the direct image for multiplicative K-theory suffices to establish the functoriality for "nonfree" multiplicative K-theory (see section 5).

2 Topological K-theory:

The goal of this paragraph is to construct a canonical link between couples of bundles obtained from the same one, by one step and two steps direct image from $K^0_{\mathrm{top}}(M)$ to $K^0_{\mathrm{top}}(S)$.

2.1 Fibral exterior differential:

The respective vertical tangent vector bundles associated with π_1, π_2 and $\pi_2\circ\pi_1$ will be denoted by TM/B, TB/S and TM/S. Put some vector bundle ξ with connexion ∇_ξ on M. Call $\mathcal{E}^{\pm}_{M/S}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}^{\pm}_{M/B}$) the infinite rank vector bundles on S (resp. B) of even/odd degree differential forms along the fibres of $\pi_2\circ\pi_1$ (resp. π_1) with values in ξ.

Choose any $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ supplementary subbundle T^HM of TM/B in TM/S. Of course $T^HM \cong \pi_1^*TB/S$. On the fibre of $\pi_2\circ\pi_1$ over any point s of S, one obtains for ξ-valued differential forms an isomorphism analogous to formula (37) of [2]:

$$\mathcal{E}_{M/S} \cong \Omega\big(\pi_2^{-1}(\{s\}), \mathcal{E}_{M/B}\big) \tag{1}$$

For any $b\in B$ and any tangent vector $U\in T_bB/S$, call U^H its horizontal lift as a section of T^HM over $\pi_1^{-1}(b)$. For any $s\in S$, the construction of formula (53) of [2] produces a connection on the restriction of $\mathcal{E}_{M/B}$ over $\pi^{-1}(\{s\})$ which will be denoted $\overline{\nabla}$. We will denote by d^H the exterior differential operator on $\Omega\big(\pi_2^{-1}(\{s\}), \mathcal{E}_{M/B}\big) \cong \mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ associated to $\overline{\nabla}$.

The "vertical" differential operator d^{∇_ξ} will be denoted by $d^{M/B}$ on $\mathcal{E}_{M/B}$ and $d^{M/S}$ on $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$. As was remarked in the third paragraph of §2.1 of [3], the difference $d^{M/S} - d^{M/B} - d^H$ (throw (1)) is (the restrictions to fibres of $\pi_2\circ\pi_1$ of) the operator $\iota_T \in \wedge^2(TB/S)^*\otimes \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{odd}}(\mathcal{E}_{M/B})$ of §2.1 of [3]. It will be allways denoted ι_T here, and considered as an element of $\mathrm{End}\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$.

2.2 Fibral Dirac operators:

Endow TM/B with some (riemannian) metric $g^{M/B}$ and ξ with some hermitian metric h^{ξ}. Take some riemannian metric $g^{B/S}$ on TB/S. Put on TM/S the riemannian metric for which the decomposition $TM/S = TM/B \oplus T^{H}M$ is orthogonal and which coïncides with $g^{M/B}$ and $\pi_1^* g^{B/S}$ on either parts. The adjoints in $\mathrm{End}\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ will be considered with respect to the L^2 scalar product on $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ obtained from h^{ξ} and this riemannian metric (as in formula (38) of [2]). These are not the adjoints (neither usual nor special) considered on $\Omega\big(\pi_2^{-1}(\{s\}), \mathcal{E}_{M/B}\big)$ in §2.2.4 of [2]. For instance, the adjoint ι_T^* of ι_T here is not $T\wedge$ as it was in §2.1 of [3].

Let $d^{M/B*}$ be the adjoint of $d^{M/B}$ with respect to $g^{M/B}$ and h^{ξ} as endomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}_{M/B}$, then $d^{M/B}$ and $d^{M/B*}$ are also adjoint as endomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ because of the choice of a riemannian submersion metric on M. Take some positive real number θ, call d^{H*} the adjoint of d^{H} as endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ and put:

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\theta} &= d^{H} + \frac{1}{\theta} d^{M/B} + \theta \iota_T \qquad \text{and} \qquad d^{\theta *} = d^{H*} + \frac{1}{\theta} d^{M/B*} + \theta \iota_T^* \\ \mathcal{D}^{H} &= d^{H} + d^{H*} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{D}^{V} = d^{M/B} + d^{M/B*} \\ \mathcal{D}^{\theta} &= d^{\theta} + d^{\theta *} = \mathcal{D}^{H} + \frac{1}{\theta}\mathcal{D}^{V} + \theta(\iota_T + \iota_T^*) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Let N_V be the endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{M/B}$ defined as in §2.5 of [3]. N_V multiplies k-degree vertical forms by k, "vertical" meaning forms along the fibres of π_1. Using $T^{H}M$, N_V extends to an operator on $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ throw the identification (1). Let g_{θ} be the riemannian metric on M such that TM/B and $T^{H}M$ are orthogonal and which restricts to $g^{M/B}$ and $\frac{1}{\theta^2}\pi_1^* g^{B}$ on either part, the observation here is that $\mathcal{D}^{\theta} = \theta^{N_V}(d^{M/S} + d_{\theta}^{M/S*})\theta^{-N_V}$ where $d_{\theta}^{M/S*}$ is the adjoint of $d^{M/S}$ with respect to g_{θ} and h^{ξ} (see [4] definition 5.5 for an analogous result in the holomorphic context). The riemanian submersion metric chosen here simplifies considerably the form of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}$ with respect to the case of [4] where such a choice is not allowed and forces more complicated conjugations than by θ^{N_V} (see [4] §5(a)).

2.3 Introducing some intermediate suitable data:

Consider some suitable data (η^+, η^-, ψ) with respect to π_1 (and ξ with ∇_{ξ} and h^{ξ} and $g^{M/B}$). $\eta^{\pm}$ are endowed with some hermitian metrics. Choose some connection ∇_{η} on $\eta^{\pm}$ (which respects either part) and the associated de Rham operator $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\eta}} = d^{\nabla_{\eta}} + (d^{\nabla_{\eta}})^*$ on $\Omega(B/S, \eta^{\pm})$. Consider some function χ as in §2.3 of [3]. For $\theta \in (0, 1]$, one puts

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\psi}^{\theta} &= \mathcal{D}^{\theta} + \mathcal{D}^{\eta} + \frac{1 - \chi(\theta)}{\theta}(\psi + \psi^*) \\ &= \mathcal{D}^{H} + \mathcal{D}^{\eta} + \frac{1}{\theta}\mathcal{D}^{V}_{(1-\chi(\theta))\psi} + \theta(\iota_T + \iota_T^*) \quad \in \quad \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{odd}}\big(\mathcal{E}_{M/S} \oplus \Omega(B/S, \eta)\big) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^V_{(1-\chi(\theta))\psi}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{D}^V$ and $(1-\chi(\theta))\psi$ as $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_\xi}_\psi$ is from $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_\xi}$ and ψ in the first formula following (38) in [2]. Here ψ is extended to forms on B/S throw the isomorphism (1). The choice of a riemannian submersion metric on TM ensures the compatibility of the adjunctions of ψ before and after extending it to forms on B/S. This result (3) corresponds to [4] proposition 5.9 with $\theta = \frac{1}{T}$ and with the extra term $\theta(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)$.

Associated to $\mathcal{D}^V_\psi$ is a double decomposition

$$\mathcal{E}_{M/B} \oplus \eta^\pm = \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V_\psi \oplus (\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V_\psi)^\perp \tag{4}$$

which gives a double decomposition of infinite rank vector bundles on S:

$$\mathcal{E}_{M/S} \oplus \Omega(B/S, \eta^\pm) = \Omega\big(B/S, \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V_\psi\big) \oplus \Omega\big(B/S, (\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V_\psi)^\perp\big)$$

The choice of a riemannian submersion metric induces that this decomposition is orthogonal: let $p\colon \mathcal{E}_{M/S} \oplus \Omega(B/S,\eta^\pm) \longrightarrow \Omega\big(B/S, \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V_\psi\big)$ be the orthogonal projection, it is the tensor product of the identity in $\Omega(B/S)$ and the orthogonal projection on the first factor of (4). Put $p^\perp = \mathrm{Id} - p$. For any positive θ one decomposes the operator $\mathcal{D}^\theta_\psi$ as a 2×2 matrix:

$$\mathcal{D}^\theta_\psi = \begin{pmatrix} p\mathcal{D}^\theta_\psi p & p\mathcal{D}^\theta_\psi p^\perp \\ p^\perp \mathcal{D}^\theta_\psi p & p^\perp \mathcal{D}^\theta_\psi p^\perp \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} A^\theta_1 & A^\theta_2 \\ A^\theta_3 & A^\theta_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

As in §3.3.3 of [2] in the flat case and §2.3 of [3] in the general case, the vector bundle $\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V_\psi$ is endowed with the restriction of the metric on $\mathcal{E}_{M/B} \oplus \eta^\pm$, and with the connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}$ obtained by projecting the connection on $\mathcal{E}_{M/B} \oplus \eta$ onto it (in fact $p(\overline{\nabla} \oplus \nabla_\eta)p$, see [4] theorem 5.1 and formula (5.34)). Because of the compatibility of orthogonal projections, the exterior differential operator on $\Omega\big(B/S, \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V_\psi\big)$ associated to this connection is $d^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}} = p(d^H \oplus d^{\nabla_\eta})p$. Put $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}} = d^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}} + (d^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}})^*$, then clearly $(d^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}})^* = p\big(d^{H*} \oplus (d^{\nabla_\eta})^*\big)p$ and for any $\theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ (to ensure that $\chi(\theta) = 0$), one has

$$A^\theta_1 = \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}} + \theta p(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)p \tag{5}$$

Of course $p(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)p$ is a bounded operator in the L^2-topology, and this remark with the above equation replaces here equation (5.35) of theorem 5.1 in [4].

In the same way, for $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$\begin{aligned} A^\theta_2 &= p\big((d^H + d^{H*}) \oplus (d^{\nabla_\eta} + (d^{\nabla_\eta})^*)\big)p^\perp + \theta p(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)p^\perp \\ \text{and} \qquad A^\theta_3 &= p^\perp\big((d^H + d^{H*}) \oplus (d^{\nabla_\eta} + (d^{\nabla_\eta})^*)\big)p + \theta p^\perp(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)p \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

are uniformly bounded operators in the L^2-topology. This is because of the choice of the riemannian submersion metric and is a simplification with respect to the corresponding result proposition 5.18 of [4].

2.4 Estimates on the operator A_4^θ:

First one wants to obtain results analoguous to [4] theorems 5.19 and 5.20. There are three differences between the situation here and [4]. The absence of conjugation (by C_T in [4] definition 5.4 and (5.10)) due to the choice of a riemannian submersion metric is a simplification and does not create any obstacle; the presence here of the term $\theta(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)$ does not change these results because of the fact that $\iota_T + \iota_T^*$ is a bounded operator in the L^2-topology and because of its factor θ; more seriously, the commutator $[D_\infty^Z, D_\infty^H]$ in [4] which corresponds to $[\mathcal{D}^V, \mathcal{D}^H]$ in the notations here, is to be replaced by

$$[\mathcal{D}_\psi^V, \mathcal{D}^H + \mathcal{D}^\eta] = [\mathcal{D}^V, \mathcal{D}^H] + [\psi + \psi^*, \mathcal{D}^H + \mathcal{D}^\eta]$$

Of course the first term has the required majoration property [4] (5.67). The operator $\psi + \psi^*$ is a fiberwise kernel operator (along the fibres of π_1), and its kernel is smooth along the fibered double $M \times_B M$. Thus, if $\mathtt{v}$ is a smooth vector field on B, the commutator $\left[\psi + \psi^*, \nabla_{\mathtt{v}^H}^{\wedge^\bullet T^*M/B \otimes \xi} \oplus \nabla_{\mathtt{v}}^\eta\right]$ (where $\mathtt{v}^H$ is the horizontal lift of $\mathtt{v}$, a section of $T^H M$), is a fiberwise kernel operator with globally smooth kernel. In particular, it is bounded in L^2-topology, and so is the (super)commutator $[\psi + \psi^*, \mathcal{D}^H + \mathcal{D}^\eta]$. The estimate [4] (5.67) then follows from [4] (5.61) (whose equivalent here holds true).

The conclusions of theorems 5.19 and 5.20 of [4] remain thus valid here, namely the existence of some constant C such that for any $\theta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and any section s of $\mathcal{E}_{M/S} \oplus \eta^\pm$

$$\|A_4^\theta(p^\perp s)\|_{L^2} \geq C\left(\|p^\perp s\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{\theta}\|p^\perp s\|_{L^2}\right) \tag{7}$$

where $\| \ \|_{H^1}$ stands for the usual Sobolev H^1-norm.

Secondly, one needs some equivalent of [4] proposition 5.22, particularly the estimate (5.71) contained in it. But the proof here is in fact easier than in [4] because equation (6) provides a simplification of the corresponding proposition 5.18 in [4], the extra term $\theta(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)$ is a uniformly bounded operator, $(\psi + \psi^*)$ too, and $\frac{1}{\theta}(\psi + \psi^*)$ is part of A_4^θ, it does not disable the ellipticity of A^θ and it is taken into account in the obtained estimates: there exist constants c, C and θ_0 such that for any $\theta \leq \theta_0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| \leq \frac{c}{2\theta}$ and any $s \in \mathcal{E}_{M/S} \oplus \eta^\pm$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda - A_4^\theta)^{-1} p^\perp s\|_{L^2} &\leq C\theta \|p^\perp s\|_{L^2} \\ \|(\lambda - A_4^\theta)^{-1} p^\perp s\|_{H^1} &\leq C \|p^\perp s\|_{L^2} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

2.5 Two-step direct image representative:

Choose now some suitable data $(\zeta^+, \zeta^-, \varphi)$ for $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}$, and extend p and $p^\perp$ to $\mathcal{E}_{M/S} \oplus \zeta^\pm$ in the following way: p induces the identity on $\zeta^\pm$ and $p^\perp$ induces the null map on $\zeta^{\pm}$. Consider then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi} &= \begin{pmatrix} p\big(\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi} + (1-\chi(\theta))(\varphi+\varphi^*)\big)p & p\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi}p^{\perp} \\ p^{\perp}\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi}p & p^{\perp}\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi}p^{\perp}\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A^{\theta}_1 + (1-\chi(\theta))(\varphi+\varphi^*) & A^{\theta}_2 \\ A^{\theta}_3 & A^{\theta}_4\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(It is not essential that the same function χ appears here and in (3)). Equation (5) obviously leads to the following equality for $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$:

$$A^{\theta}_1 + (1-\chi(\theta))(\varphi+\varphi^*) = \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}} + \varphi + \varphi^* + \theta p(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)p = \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi} + \theta p(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)p \quad (9)$$

with the same remark (as after (5)) that $p(\iota_T + \iota_T^*)p$ is bounded.

Using this, the remark after (6) above and (8) instead of [4](5.35), (5.49) and (5.71) respectively, the analysis performed in [4] §§5(d) and (g) applies here. The only difference is that the following equivalent here of the first line of [4] (5.89) (for the usual norm of bounded operators in L^2-topology) is not true

$$\big\|\big(A^{\theta}_1 + (1-\chi(\theta))(\varphi+\varphi^*) - \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi}\big)(\lambda - \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi})^{-1}\big\| \leq C\theta^2(1+|\lambda|) \qquad (10)$$

The set U_T (or $U_{\frac{1}{\theta}}$) where λ is supposed to lie, defined in [4] (5.76), is such that $|\lambda| \leq c_1 T$ (or $\frac{c_1}{\theta}$) and $\|(\lambda - \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{c_2}{4}$ for some constants c_1 and c_2. But only the following consequence of (10)

$$\big\|\big(A^{\theta}_1 + (1-\chi(\theta))(\varphi+\varphi^*) - \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi}\big)(\lambda - \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi})^{-1}\big\| \leq C\theta$$

is needed for establishing the equivalent of [4] (5.90). This last estimate can be obtained here directly from the remark after (9) and the properties of $U_{\frac{1}{\theta}}$.

One obtains firstly the convergence of the resolvent of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}$ to any great enough positive integral power $(\lambda - \mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi})^{-k}$ to $p(\lambda - \mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi})^{-k}p$ in the sense of the norm $\|A\|_1 = \operatorname{tr}(A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ([4] theorem 5.28), and secondly the convergence of the spectral projector of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}$ with respect to eigenvalues of absolute value bounded by some suitable positive constant a to the orthogonal projector onto the kernel of $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi}$ ([4] equation (5.118)).

Thus the eigensections of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}$ corresponding to eigenvalues of absolute value bounded by a and the kernel of $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi}$ make vector bundles $\mathcal{G}^{\pm}$ over $S \times [0, \varepsilon_2]$ for all sufficiently small (but positive) ε_2. And for any $t \in [0, \varepsilon_2]$,

$$[\mathcal{G}^+|_{\{t\}}] - [\mathcal{G}^-|_{\{t\}}] = \pi^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{2*}\big(\pi^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{1*}([\xi]) + [\eta^+] - [\eta^-]\big) + [\zeta^+] - [\zeta^-] \ \in \ K^0_{\mathrm{top}}(S)$$

Remark. Of course the convergence holds for the squared operators $\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}\right)^2$ and $\left(\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi}\right)^2$ too. In this case, the eigenspaces decompose along the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graduation decomposition of $\mathcal{E}_{M/S} \oplus \Omega(B/S, \eta) \oplus \zeta$, and for a nonzero eigenvalue of $\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}\right)^2$, the nonsquared operator $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}$ induces a bijection between the two parts of the eigenspace. This proves that if $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi}$ is surjective on $\mathcal{E}^-_{B/S} \oplus \zeta^-$, then

$\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}$ has no nonvanishing little absolute valued eigenvalue for θ little enough, so that the kernel of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi,\varphi}$ converges to the kernel of $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\varphi}$ and these kernels directly provide a vector bundle on $S \times [0, \varepsilon_2]$.

2.6 Link between one-step and two-step direct image representatives:

The constructions of §3.1 of [2] (both the families analytic index map and the canonical link between different constructions) can be applied to $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi}$ on any compact subset of $S \times (0, 1]$. This is because $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi}$ is a compact modification of the fibral elliptic operator $\mathcal{D}^{\theta} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\eta}$.

This does not work on $[0, 1]$ because of the explosion of A^{θ}_{4} (7).

Choose $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_2)$ and suitable data $(\lambda^+, \lambda^-, \phi)$ for $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}_{\psi}$ with respect to the submersion $(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1) \times \mathrm{Id}_{[\varepsilon_1, 1]} \colon M \times [\varepsilon_1, 1] \longrightarrow S \times [\varepsilon_1, 1]$. One then obtains two vector bundles $\mathcal{K}^{\pm}$ on $S \times [\varepsilon_1, 1]$ such that for any $\theta \in [\varepsilon_1, 1]$

$$[\mathcal{K}^+_{S\times\{\theta\}}] - [\mathcal{K}^-_{S\times\{\theta\}}] = (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{*}[\xi] + \pi^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{2*}([\eta^+] - [\eta^-]) + [\lambda^+] - [\lambda^-] \in K^0_{\mathrm{top}}(S)$$

This is obtained on $S \times \{1\}$ from the regular construction of §3.1.1 of [2] and away from $\{1\}$ by parallel transport along $[\varepsilon_1, 1]$.

Because $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, and of the considerations above, there is from §3.1.2 of [2] some canonical link between $(\mathcal{G}^+ \oplus \zeta^-) - (\mathcal{G}^- \oplus \zeta^+)$ and $(\mathcal{K}^+ \oplus \lambda^-) - (\mathcal{K}^- \oplus \lambda^+)$ over $B \times [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$. Represent $\pi^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{2*}([\eta^+] - [\eta^-]) \in K^0_{\mathrm{top}}(S)$ by any difference $[\mu^+] - [\mu^-]$ of vector bundles on S, one obtains (by adding the identity of μ^- and of μ^+) a link between $(\mathcal{G}^+ \oplus \zeta^- \oplus \mu^-) - (\mathcal{G}^- \oplus \zeta^+ \oplus \mu^-)$ which is a representative of $\pi^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{2*}\big(\pi^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{1*}(\xi)\big)$, and $(\mathcal{K}^+ \oplus \lambda^- \oplus \mu^-) - (\mathcal{K}^- \oplus \lambda^+ \oplus \mu^+)$ which is a representative of $(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)^{\mathrm{Eu}}_{*}(\xi)$

2.7 Independence on the choices:

Consider two systems of suitable data $(\zeta^+_1, \zeta^-_1, \varphi_1)$ and $(\zeta^+_2, \zeta^-_2, \varphi_2)$ for $\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}}$. Then $(\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}+}_{\varphi_1} \oplus \zeta^-_1) - (\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}-}_{\varphi_1} \oplus \zeta^+_1)$ and $(\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}+}_{\varphi_2} \oplus \zeta^-_2) - (\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\nabla_{\mathcal{H}}-}_{\varphi_2} \oplus \zeta^+_2)$ are canonically linked from the construction of §3.1.2 of [2], and this link extends to some link between $(\mathcal{G}^+|_{\{t\}} \oplus \zeta^-_1) - (\mathcal{G}^-|_{\{t\}} \oplus \zeta^+_1)$ and $(\mathcal{G}^+|_{\{t\}} \oplus \zeta^-_2) - (\mathcal{G}^-|_{\{t\}} \oplus \zeta^+_2)$ for any t. But both this one and the one between $(\mathcal{G}^+ \oplus \zeta^-) - (\mathcal{G}^- \oplus \zeta^+)$ and $(\mathcal{K}^+ \oplus \lambda^-) - (\mathcal{K}^- \oplus \lambda^+)$ used just above are obtained from the construction of §3.1.2 of [2], they are thus compatible.

Now take two systems of suitable data $(\eta^+_1, \eta^-_1, \psi_1)$ and $(\eta^+_2, \eta^-_2, \psi_2)$ with respect to π_1 (and ξ with ∇_ξ and h^ξ and $g^{M/B}$). There is a link between $\big(\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\psi_1+}_{M/B} \oplus \eta^-_1\big) - \big(\eta^+_1 \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\psi_1-}_{M/B}\big)$ and $\big(\mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\psi_2+}_{M/B} \oplus \eta^-_2\big) - \big(\eta^+_2 \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^{\psi_2-}_{M/B}\big)$ as constructed in §3.1.2 of [2]. This link is obtained by constructing a families index map for a submersion of the form $\pi_1 \times \mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]} \colon M \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow B \times [0, 1]$. This construction can be extended to the case of a double submersion in the following form $M \times [0, 1] \overset{\pi_1\times\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]}}{\longrightarrow} B \times [0, 1] \overset{\pi_2\times\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]}}{\longrightarrow} S \times [0, 1]$, and the compatibility of canonical links either for linked data or for one and for two submersions follows.

3 Flat K-theory:

3.1 Leray spectral sequence:

Consider some flat vector bundle (F, ∇_F) on M, let $G^\bullet = H^\bullet(M/B, F|_{M/B})$ be the graded flat bundle on B of the F-valued cohomology of the fibres of π_1, (with flat connections $\nabla_{G^\bullet}$) and $H^\bullet = H^\bullet(M/S, F|_{M/S})$ be the graded flat bundle on S of the F-valued cohomology of the fibres of $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ (with flat connections $\nabla_{H^\bullet}$; of course in the notation of section 3.3 of [2] $\pi^+_{1!}F = \underset{i \text{ even}}{\oplus} G^i$, $\pi^-_{1!}F = \underset{i \text{ odd}}{\oplus} G^i$ and similarly for $H^\bullet$ and $(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_!F$).

The vertical F-valued de Rham complex $\Omega^\bullet(M/S, F)$ along M/S is filtrated by the horizontal degree: for any p, $F^p\Omega^\bullet(M/S, F)$ consists of F-valued differential forms whose interior product with more than p elements of TM/B vanishes. Thus $H^\bullet$ is also filtrated from this filtration: $F^pH^\bullet$ consists of classes which can be represented by some element in $F^p\Omega^\bullet(M/S, F)$. This filtration is compatible with the flat connections of $H^\bullet$, so that for any p and k,

$$0 \longrightarrow F^{p+1}H^k \longrightarrow F^pH^k \longrightarrow F^pH^k/F^{p+1}H^k \longrightarrow 0 \tag{11}$$

is an exact sequence in the category of flat bundles. The corresponding flat connections will be respectively denoted by $\nabla_{F^{p+1}H^k}$, $\nabla_{F^pH^k}$ and $\nabla_{p/k}$.

It is proved in [7] Proposition 3.1 that the associated spectral sequence gives rise to flat vector bundles $(E^{p,q}_r, \nabla^{p,q}_r)$ on S with flat (parallel) spectral sequence morphisms $d_r \colon E^{p,q}_r \longrightarrow E^{p-r,q+r+1}_r$ (and $E^{p,q}_{r+1} = \mathrm{Ker} d_r|_{E^{p,q}_r} \big/ (\mathrm{Im} d_r \cap E^{p,q}_r)$).

It is a classical fact (see [7] theorem 2.1) that this spectral sequence is isomorphic to the Leray spectral sequence, and thus $E^{p,q}_2 \cong H^p(B/S, G^q)$ while $E^{p,q}_r \cong F^pH^{p+q} \big/ F^{p+1}H^{p+q}$ for all sufficiently great r.

Put $E^+_r = \underset{p+q \text{ even}}{\oplus} E^{p,q}_r$ and $E^-_r = \underset{p+q \text{ odd}}{\oplus} E^{p,q}_r$, and denote their direct sum (flat) connections by ∇^+_r and ∇^-_r. The construction of formulae (5) and (6) of [2] for the complex associated to d_r produces for any $r \geq 2$ a link between $E^+_r - E^-_r$ and $E^+_{r+1} - E^-_{r+1}$. Decomposing this complex in direct sums of short exact sequences in the classical way proves that this link is a sum of links of the form appearing in relation (iii) of definition 1 in [2], so that the element $[E^+_r, \nabla^+_r] - [E^-_r, \nabla^-_r] \in K^0_{\text{flat}}(S)$ is independent of r.

For $r = 2$, this is nothing but $\pi_{2!}([G^+, \nabla_{G^+}] - [G^-, \nabla_{G^-}]) = \pi_{2!}\big(\pi_{1!}[F, \nabla_F]\big)$.

In the other hand, it follows from (11) that the element

$$[F^pH^\bullet, \nabla_{F^pH^\bullet}] + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}[F^iH^\bullet/F^{i+1}H^\bullet, \nabla_{i/\bullet}] \in K^0_{\text{flat}}(S)$$

is independent of p. For $p = 0$, it equals $[H^\bullet, \nabla_H] = (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_![F, \nabla_F]$, while for sufficiently great p and r, it equals $[E^+_r, \nabla^+_r] - [E^-_r, \nabla^-_r]$. Thus

Proposition. $\pi_{2!} \circ \pi_{1!} = (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_! \ : \ K^0_{\text{flat}}(M) \longrightarrow K^0_{\text{flat}}(S)$.

3.2 Compatibility of topological and sheaf theoretic links:

Two links between $E_2^+ - E_2^- \cong \pi_{2!}\big(\pi_{1!}[F,\nabla_F]\big)$ and $H^+ - H^- \cong (\pi_2\circ\pi_1)_![F,\nabla_F]$ are now available: the link $[\ell_{\rm top}]$ constructed in subsection 2.6 and the sheaf theoretic one $[\ell_{\rm flat}]$ constructed just above from the Leray spectral sequence and the filtration of $H^\bullet$ (11).

Proposition 1. $[\ell_{\rm top}] = [\ell_{\rm flat}]$

Proof. We will use the Hodge theoretic version of the Leray spectral sequence (at $\theta = 0$). Such a theory was studied by various authors in various contexts [8] [6] [4] [7], the version corresponding to the situation here in explained in [7] §2 and §3. It can be summarized as follows: E_0 is nothing but $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ (see (1)) as global infinite rank vector bundle over S. Then there exists a nested sequence of vector subbundles $\widetilde{E}_r$ of $E_0 = \widetilde{E}_0$ which are for all $r \geq 2$ of finite rank and endowed with canonical flat connections $\widetilde{\nabla}_r$. This sequence stabilizes for sufficiently great r. For any r, there is some canonical isomorphism $E_r \cong \widetilde{E}_r$ with the corresponding term of the Leray spectral sequence, for $r \geq 2$ it makes ∇_r and $\widetilde{\nabla}_r$ correspond to each other. All the $\widetilde{E}_r$ are naturally endowed with the restriction of the L^2 hermitian inner product on $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ (which needs here to be obtained from some riemannian submersion metric). Finally for any r, let $\widetilde{d}_r^*$ be the adjoint of the bundle endomorphism $\widetilde{d}_r$ corresponding to the operator d_r of the spectral sequence, and define $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r = \widetilde{d}_r + \widetilde{d}_r^*$, then $\widetilde{E}_{r+1} := \mathrm{Ker}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r$.

For $r = 0$, $d_0 \cong d^{M/B}$, so that E_1 identifies throw fibral Hodge theory with $\widetilde{E}_1 = \Omega(B/S, \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^V) \cong \Omega(B/S, G^\bullet)$ in the notations of the preceding paragraph. Thus $\widetilde{E}_1$ identifies with vertical differential forms with values in $\pi_{1!}F$, where "vertical" is to understand with respect to the fibration π_2. Let $\widetilde{p}_1$ be the orthogonal projection of E_0 onto $\widetilde{E}_1$; then $\widetilde{d}_1 = \widetilde{p}_1 d^H$ acting on $\widetilde{E}_1$, so that $\widetilde{E}_2 = \mathrm{Ker}(p_1\mathcal{D}^H|_{\widetilde{E}_1})$ identifies with fibral harmonic $G^\bullet$-valued differential forms, hence with $\pi_{2!}(\pi_{1!}F)$.

For any $r \geq 2$, $\widetilde{E}_r$ can be described as follows ([7] Proposition 2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}_r \;=\; \big\{ & s_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{M/S} \text{ such that there exists } s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{r-1} \in \mathcal{E}_{M/S} \text{ verifying} \\ & \mathcal{D}^V s_0 = 0,\ \mathcal{D}^H s_0 + \mathcal{D}^V s_1 = 0 \ \text{ and} \\ & (\iota_T + \iota_T^*)s_{i-2} + \mathcal{D}^H s_{i-1} + \mathcal{D}^V s_i = 0 \ \text{ for any } 2 \leq i \leq r-1 \big\} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Then in this description $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r s_0 = \widetilde{p}_r((\iota_T + \iota_T^*)s_{r-2} + \mathcal{D}^H s_{r-1})$, where $\widetilde{p}_r$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ onto $\widetilde{E}_r$. One can then prove along the same lines as in [4] §VI (a) (especially formulae (6.13) and (6.15)) that

$$\begin{aligned} & d^{M/B} s_0 = 0,\ d^H s_0 + d^{M/B} s_1 = 0, \\ & \qquad \iota_T s_{i-2} + d^H s_{i-1} + d^{M/B} s_i = 0 \ \text{ for any } 2 \leq i \leq r-1 \\ & \qquad \text{and } \ \widetilde{d}_r s_0 = \widetilde{p}_r(\iota_T s_{r-2} + d^H s_{r-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Use now the convergence of the resolvent $\big(\lambda - (\frac{1}{\theta})^{r-1}\mathcal{D}^\theta\big)^{-1}$ (here both η and ψ vanish) to $\widetilde{p}_r(\lambda - \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r)^{-1}\widetilde{p}_r$ ([7] Theorem 2.2) for sufficiently large r. One can deduce that the orthogonal projection p_θ of $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$ onto Ker$\mathcal{D}^\theta$ converges at $\theta = 0$ to $\widetilde{p}_r$. In other words Ker$\mathcal{D}^\theta$ is the restriction to $S \times (0,1]$ of some vector bundle on $S \times [0,1]$ whose restriction to $S \times \{0\}$ is $\widetilde{E}_\infty$. There is a bigrading on $\mathcal{E}_{M/S}$, from (1) according to horizontal (i.e. corresponding to $\Omega^\bullet$) and vertical (corresponding to the grading of $\mathcal{E}_{M/B}$) degrees. $\widetilde{E}_\infty$ decomposes with respect to this bigrading [4] theorem 6.1. Consider some $s_0 \in \widetilde{E}_\infty^{p,q}$ and call $s_i^{p+i,q-i}$ for any i the corresponding component of the s_i introduced in (12). The above description of $\widetilde{d}_r$ proves that for any sufficiently large r the differential form $s_0 + s_1^{p+1,q-1} + \dots s_r^{p+r,q-r}$ is closed. According to the scaling appearing in (2) the section $p_\theta(s_0+\theta s_1^{p+1,q-1}+\theta^2 s_2^{p+2,q-2}\dots\theta^r s_r^{p+r,q-r})$ is the rescaled harmonic form corresponding to some fixed cohomology class. Its obvious convergence to s_0 at $\theta = 0$ proves that the isomorphism between Ker$\mathcal{D}^1$ ad $\widetilde{E}_\infty$ provided by the parallel transport along $[0,1]$ exactly corresponds to the isomorphism $[H^\bullet, \nabla_H] \cong [E_r^\bullet, \nabla_r]$ obtained at the end of §3.1 from the exact sequences (11).

In the notations of paragraph 2.6, $\mathcal{K} = \mathrm{Ker}\mathcal{D}^\theta$, so that one can take here $\varepsilon_1 = 0$. The convergence of the resolvent $\big(\lambda - (\frac{1}{\theta})^{r-1}\mathcal{D}^\theta\big)^{-1}$ to $\widetilde{p}_r(\lambda - \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r)^{-1}\widetilde{p}_r$ ([7] Theorem 2.2) for any r gives the following description of the vector bundle $\mathcal{G}$ over $S \times [0, \varepsilon_1]$: its restriction to $S \times \{\theta\}$ is the direct sum of eigenspaces of $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ corresponding to "little" modulus eigenvalues while its restriction to $S \times \{0\}$ is the direct sum of the $\widetilde{E}_r$, each $\widetilde{E}_r$ corresponding to eigenspaces associated to eigenvalues of order less than or equal to θ^{r-1}. For any positive θ, $(\mathcal{G}, d^\theta)$ form a complex whose cohomology is $\mathcal{K}$. Accordingly, the canonical link between $\mathcal{G}^+ - \mathcal{G}^-$ and $\mathcal{K}^+ - \mathcal{K}^-$ is provided either by formula (5) (using d^θ) or (7) (using $\mathcal{D}^\theta$) of [2]. In the same way, the canonical link berween $E^+_{r-1} - E^-_{r-1}$ and $E^+_r - E^-_r$ is obtained from d_r by formula (5) of [2] and it corresponds to the canonical link between $\widetilde{E}^+_{r-1} - \widetilde{E}^-_{r-1}$ and $\widetilde{E}^+_r - \widetilde{E}^-_r$ obtained from $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r$ by formula (7) of [2]. The convergence of the resolvents also prove that the operator $(\frac{1}{\theta})^{r-1}\mathcal{D}^\theta$ on the suitable eigensubspace converges to $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_r$, and accordingly for $(\frac{1}{\theta})^{r-1}d^\theta$ and $\widetilde{d}_r$. Thus, the canonical (topological) link between $\mathcal{G}^+ - \mathcal{G}^-$ and $\mathcal{K}^+ - \mathcal{K}^-$ converges to the canonical (sheaf theoretical) link between $E_2^+ - E_2^-$ and $E_\infty^+ - E_\infty^-$ considered in the preceding paragraph.

Combining this with the considerations on the limit up to $\theta = 0$ of Ker$\mathcal{D}^\theta$ proves the proposition. □

4 Relative K-theory:

Theorem. $\pi_{2*} \circ \pi_{1*} = (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_* \in \mathrm{Hom}\big(K^0_{\mathrm{rel}}(M), K^0_{\mathrm{rel}}(S)\big)$

Proof. Take some $(E, \nabla_E, F, \nabla_F, f) \in K^0_{\mathrm{rel}}(M)$, then $\pi_{2*}\circ\pi_{1*}(E, \nabla_E, F, \nabla_F, f)$ is of the form $\big(\pi_{2!}\circ\pi_{1!}(E, \nabla_E), \pi_{2!}\circ\pi_{1!}(F, \nabla_F), [\ell]\big)$ while $(\pi_2\circ\pi_1)_*(E, \nabla_E, F, \nabla_F, f)$ is of the form $\big((\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_!(E, \nabla_E), (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_!(F, \nabla_F), [\ell']\big)$ where $[\ell]$ and $[\ell']$ are suitable classes of links.

Consider the pull-back $\widetilde{E}$ of E to $M \times [0,1]$ with some connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ whose restrictions on $M \times \{0\}$ and $M \times \{1\}$ respectively equal ∇_E and $f^*\nabla_F$. There is a canonical (topological) class of link $[\widetilde{\ell}]$ between one-step and two-step direct images of $\widetilde{E}$ whose restrictions to $M \times \{0\}$ and $M \times \{1\}$ coincide with $[\ell^E_{\text{top}}]$ and $[\ell^F_{\text{top}}]$ (with obvious notations from the preceding subsection, this is because of the naturality of $[\ell_{\text{top}}]$). Now $[\ell]$ and $[\ell']$ both correspond to the parallel transport along $[0,1]$ for one step and two step direct image respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_{2*} \circ \pi_{1*}(E, \nabla_E, F, \nabla_F, f) - (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_*(E, \nabla_E, F, \nabla_F, f) = \\
&\qquad = \big(\pi_{2!} \circ \pi_{1!}(E, \nabla_E), (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_!(E, \nabla_E), [\ell^E_{\text{top}}]\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad - \big(\pi_{2!} \circ \pi_{1!}(F, \nabla_F), (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_!(F, \nabla_F), [\ell^F_{\text{top}}]\big)
\end{aligned}$$

But in both cases $[\ell_{\text{top}}] = [\ell_{\text{flat}}]$ and ℓ_{flat} is only obtained from exact sequences in the category of flat vector bundles (either from the flat complex associated to d_r or from (11)). Thus both terms in the right hand side of the above equation vanish, and this proves the theorem. ☐

5 Multiplicative and free multiplicative K-theory:

Consider the vector bundles ξ on M, F^+ and F^- on B and G^+ and G^- on S (with connections ∇_ξ, ∇_{F^+}, ∇_{F^-}, ∇_{G^+} and ∇_{G^-}) such that

$$[F^+] - [F^-] = \pi^{\text{Eu}}_{1*}[\xi] \in K^0_{\text{top}}(B) \quad \text{and} \quad [G^+] - [G^-] = (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)^{\text{Eu}}_*[\xi] \in K^0_{\text{top}}(S)$$

Choose some smooth supplementary subbundle $T^H M/S$ of TM/S in TM, such that $T^H M/S \cap TM/B = T^H M$; then $\pi_{1*} T^H M/S$ is a smoth supplementary subbundle of TB/S in TB. One can define connections $\nabla_{TM/B}$, $\nabla_{TM/S}$ and $\nabla_{TB/S}$ on TM/B, TM/S and TB/S as at the beginning of the proof lemma 12 of [2] from the choices of horizontal subspaces $T^H M$, $T^H M/S$ and $\pi_{1*} T^H M/S$ respectively. Let $[\ell_F]$ and $[\ell_G]$ be equivalence classes of links between either $F^+ - F^-$ or $G^+ - G^-$ and bundles provided with the families analytic index construction (as in definition 7 of [3]), and denote $\tau_1 = \tau(\nabla_\xi, \nabla_{TM/B}, \nabla_{F^+}, \nabla_{F^-}, [\ell_F])$ and $\tau_{12} = \tau(\nabla_\xi, \nabla_{TM/S}, \nabla_{G^+}, \nabla_{G^-}, [\ell_G])$. Thus

$$\pi^{\text{Eu}}_{1!}(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha) = \left(F^+, \nabla_{F^+}, \int_{M/B} e(\nabla_{TM/B})\alpha\right) - (F^-, \nabla_{F^-}, \tau_1) \in \widehat{K}_{\text{ch}}(B)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and} \quad &(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)^{\text{Eu}}_!(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha) = \\
&\qquad = \left(G^+, \nabla_{G^+}, \int_{M/S} e(\nabla_{TM/S})\alpha\right) - (G^-, \nabla_{G^-}, \tau_{12}) \in \widehat{K}_{\text{ch}}(S)
\end{aligned}$$

Take vector bundles H^{++}, H^{+-}, H^{-+} and H^{--} on S (with connections ∇_{++}, ∇_{+-}, ∇_{-+} and ∇_{--}) such that $\pi_{2*}[F^\pm] = [H^{\pm+}] - [H^{\pm-}] \in K^0_{\text{top}}(S)$. Consider some equivalence class of links $[\ell_+]$ and $[\ell_-]$ between $H^{\pm+} - H^{\pm-}$ and bundles provided with the families analytic index construction and denote by $\tau_\pm$ the forms $\tau(\nabla_{F^\pm}, \nabla_{TB/S}, \nabla_{\pm+}, \nabla_{\pm-}, [\ell_\pm])$. Then

$$\pi_{2!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}\big(\pi_{1!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha)\big) = \left(H^{++}, \nabla_{++}, \int_{B/S} e(\nabla_{TB/S}) \int_{M/B} e(\nabla_{TM/B})\alpha\right)$$
$$-(H^{+-}, \nabla_{+-}, \tau_+) - \left(H^{-+}, \nabla_{-+}, \int_{B/S} e(\nabla_{TB/S})\tau_1\right) + (H^{--}, \nabla_{--}, \tau_-)$$

Now $G^+ - G^-$ and $(H^{++} \oplus H^{--}) - (H^{+-} \oplus H^{-+})$ are linked throw $[\ell_G]$, $[\ell_+]$, $[\ell_-]$ and the construction of §2.6. Call $[\ell_{\mathrm{top}}]$ the resulting link and $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}([\ell_{\mathrm{top}}])$ the associated Chern-Simons form as in §2.2.5 of [2], then

$$\pi_{2!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}\big(\pi_{1!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha)\big) = \left(G^+, \nabla_{G^+}, \int_{M/S} \pi_1^*\big(e(\nabla_{TB/S})\big)e(\nabla_{TM/B})\alpha\right)$$
$$- \left(G^-, \nabla_{G^-}, \tau_+ - \tau_- - \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}([\ell_{\mathrm{top}}]) + \int_{B/S} e(\nabla_{TB/S})\tau_1\right)$$

Choose any supplementary subbundle of T^HM in T^HM/S, it then identifies with π_1^*TB/S and is endowed with the connection $\pi_1^*\nabla_{TB/S}$. Denote by $\widetilde{e}_{M/B/S}$ the form $\widetilde{e}(\nabla_{TM/S}, \nabla_{TM/B} \oplus \pi_1^*\nabla_{!TB/S})$ defined in §2.2.2 of [2], then the following form

$$\widetilde{e}_{M/B/S}d\alpha + \big(e(\nabla_{TM/S}) - \pi_1^*\big(e(\nabla_{TB/S})\big)e(\nabla_{TM/B})\big)\alpha$$

is exact so that in $\widehat{K}_{\mathrm{ch}}(S)$:

$$\pi_{2!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}\big(\pi_{1!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha)\big) = \left(G^+, \nabla_{G^+}, \int_{M/S} e(\nabla_{TM/S})\alpha\right) - (G^-, \nabla_{G^-}, \widetilde{\tau}_{12})$$

$$\text{with} \qquad \widetilde{\tau}_{12} = \tau_+ - \tau_- - \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}([\ell_{\mathrm{top}}]) + \int_{B/S} e(\nabla_{TB/S})\tau_1 - \int_{M/S} \widetilde{e}_{M/B/S}d\alpha$$

Theorem. *The restriction to $MK^0(M)$ of $\pi_{2!}^{\mathrm{Eu}} \circ \pi_{1!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}$ and $(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)_!^{\mathrm{Eu}}$ coincide.*

Proof. For any $(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha) \in MK_0(M)$, one has $d\alpha = \mathrm{ch}(\nabla_\xi) - \mathrm{rk}\xi$ but for degree reasons $\int_{M/S} \widetilde{e}_{M/B/S}$ vanishes (the degree of this form equals $\mathrm{dim}M - \mathrm{dim}S - 1$). So, the problem is reduced to the equality of τ_{12} and of

$$\overset{\approx}{\tau}_{12} = \tau_+ - \tau_- - \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}([\ell_{\mathrm{top}}]) + \int_{B/S} e(\nabla_{TB/S})\tau_1 + \int_{M/S} \widetilde{e}_{M/B/S}\mathrm{ch}(\nabla_\xi)$$

for any $(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha) \in MK^0(M)$.

It is easily verified that $\overset{\approx}{\tau}_{12}$ is additive in the sense of lemma 8 of [3], is functorial by pullbacks over fibered products (with double fibration structure!); a direct calculation proves that it verifies the same transgression formula as τ_{12} (see formula (12) of [3]). Moreover, in the case of a flat bundle (ξ, ∇_ξ), $F^\pm$ here correspond to $G^\pm$ in §3.1, $G^\pm$ here correspond to $H^\pm$ of §3.1, and $H^{\pm\pm}$

here correspond to $E_2^{\pm\pm}$ of §3.1, and in any case, the suitable data are taken trivial because all bundles are flat. Thus all the forms τ_+, τ_- and τ_1 vanish (see lemma 8 of [3]), $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla_\xi) = \mathrm{rk}\xi$ so that the integral involving $\widetilde{e}_{M/B/S}$ vanishes, and $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}([\ell_{\mathrm{top}}])$ also vanishes, because of proposition 1 and lemma 6 of [2] (and the description of $[\ell_{\mathrm{flat}}]$ of proposition 1 as obtained fom exact sequences of flat bundles).

The coincidence of τ_{12} and $\overset{\approx}{\tau}_{12}$ for elements of $MK_0(M)$ is then obtained from the caracterisation of theorem of §2.7.2 in [3]. ☐

It is likely that $\overset{\approx}{\tau}_{12} = \tau_{12}$ in any case, so that

$$\pi_{2!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}\big(\pi_{1!}^{\mathrm{Eu}}(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha)\big) = \big(0, 0, \overset{\approx}{\tau}_{12} - \tau_{12}\big) = \left(0, 0, \int_{M/S} \widetilde{e}_{M/B/S}\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha)\right)$$

for any $(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha) \in \widehat{K}_{\mathrm{ch}}(M)$ (where $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(\xi, \nabla_\xi, \alpha) = \mathrm{ch}(\nabla_\xi) - d\alpha$ vanishes on $MK^0(M)$). This formula would be compatible with the preceding theorem and with the anomaly formulas (13) and (14) of [3].

References

[1] A. Berthomieu: *Direct Images for Relative and Multiplicative K-Theories*, Oberwolfach Reports, Vol. **3**, Nr 1 (2006) pp. 758-760.

[2] A. Berthomieu: *Direct image for multiplicative and relative K-theories from transgression of the families index theorem, part 1.* preprint at `arXiv:math.DG/0611281`

[3] A. Berthomieu: *Direct image for multiplicative and relative K-theories from transgression of the families index theorem, part 2.* preprint at `arXiv:math.DG/0703916`

[4] A. Berthomieu J.-M. Bismut: *Quillen metrics and higher analytic torsion forms*, J. reine angew. Math. **457** (1994) 85-184.

[5] U. Bunke, Th. Schick: *Smooth K-theory*, preprint at `arXiv:0707.0046`

[6] X. Dai: *Adiabatic limits, nonmultiplicativity of signature and Leray spectral sequences*, J. A. M. S. **4** (1991) 265-321.

[7] X. Ma: *Functoriality of real analytic torsion forms*, Israël J. of Math. **131** (2002), pp. 1-50.

[8] R. Mazzeo, R. Melrose: *The adiabatic limit, Hodge cohomology and Leray's spectral sequence for a fibration*, J. of Diff. Geom. **31** (1990) 185-213.